

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL**

<b>Case No.</b>	2:24-MJ-2524	<b>Date</b>	April 30, 2024
<b>Title</b>	United States v. Norberto Sanchez Lizarraga		

<b>Present: The Honorable</b> Margo A. Rocconi, United States Magistrate Judge	
Valerie Velasco	n/a
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
Attorneys Present for Government:	Attorneys Present for Defendant:
n/a	n/a

**Proceedings:** ORDER OF DETENTION

The Court conducted a detention hearing on:

☒ The motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case allegedly involving: a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.

☐ The motion of the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving: a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

☒ The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) (2-3)].

☒ The Court finds that the defendant ☐ has ☒ has not rebutted the presumption under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2-3) by sufficient evidence to the contrary.

\* \* \*

The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure:

☐ the appearance of the defendant as required.

☐ the safety of any person or the community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL**

<b>Case No.</b>	2:24-MJ-2524	<b>Date</b>	April 30, 2024
<b>Title</b>	United States v. Norberto Sanchez Lizarraga		

The Court bases its findings on the following [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)]:

- ☐ Nature and circumstances of offense charged
- ☐ Weight of known evidence against defendant
- ☐ Lack of bail resources
- ☐ No stable residence, employment, or community ties
- ☐ Ties to foreign countries
- ☐ Substance abuse
- ☐ Nature of previous criminal convictions
- ☐ Previous failure to appear or violations of probation, parole, or release
- ☐ Already in custody on state or federal offense
- ☐ Information given to Pretrial Services inconsistent with verification
- ☒ Unrebutted presumption [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2-3)]
- ☐

☒ Defendant did not oppose the detention request.

In reaching this decision, the Court considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered the evidence presented at the hearing, the arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED Defendant shall not be immediately transported to Southern District of New York. However, following the resolution of the identity hearing, Monday, May 6, 2024, at 10:30 a.m. before the Honorable Margo A. Rocconi, in court room 790, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for forthwith transfer to the Southern District of New York.